<u>Strategic risks</u> – have the potential to significantly disrupt or destroy the organisation

Ref	Risk ref.	Description of the risk	risk level (no controls)	Processes in place (ie the 'how' risks are being mitigated)	Controls (i.e. decisions needed)	Lead risk owner	Residual risk level (after existing controls)
L1	CSF7 EAI1 FN1 ORB10	Financial outlook Further reductions in funding, due to constraints in the ability to raise local funding and/or distribution of funding, results in significant adverse long term consequences for sustainability and service reductions leading to significant implications for residents.	High	 Structured approach to ensuring Government understands the council's Council Tax strategy and unsustainable impact of current funding mechanism. Targeted focus with Government to secure a greater share of funding for specific demand led pressures (in particular Adult Social Care). Proactive engagement with Government departments to influence core Government policy direction (specific areas to be developed as Government priorities become clear). Continued horizon scanning of the financial implications of existing and future Government policy changes. Development of alternative / new sources of funding (e.g. bidding for grants). Cabinet Members induction programme to ensure continuity of informed decision making and service delivery. New Members induction programme in place (May to July) to introduce them to the council and thereby facilitate informed decision making. Notwithstanding actions above, there is a significant risk of Central Government policy changes /austerity measures due to changes in ministerial responsibilities impacting on the council's long term financial sustainability. 	 Members make decisions to stop new spending, reduce spending and or generate alternative sources of funding, where necessary, in a timely manner. Officers unable to recommend MTFP unless a credible sustainable budget is proposed. Members proactively take the opportunities to influence central Government. Officers continue to analyse events and create budget scenarios. The council uses external expertise to confirm the facts relating to its sustainability. The council pro-actively seek to participate in consultations and other opportunities to engage with Government as it develop future funding policies. 	Director of Finance	High

	Ref	Risk ref.	Description of the risk	Inherent risk level (no controls)	Processes in place (ie the 'how' risks are being mitigated)	Controls (i.e. decisions needed)	Lead risk owner	Residual risk level (after existing controls)
Page 36	L2	CSF3,4,	Safeguarding – Children's Services Avoidable failure in Children's Services, through action or inaction, including child sexual exploitation, leads to serious harm, death or a major impact on well being.	High	 Working within the frameworks established by the Children's Safeguarding Board and the Social Care Services Board ensures the council's policies and procedures are up to date and based on good practice. The Adult Social Care and Children, Schools and Families Multi-Agency Safeguarding Hub went live on 5 October 2016 facilitating the sharing of good practice. The Children's Services Improvement Plan was refreshed in October 2016 and is being delivered to address the improvement notice dated 26 January 2016 and strengthen service and whole system capability and capacity. Ofsted visit on a quarterly basis to monitor progress. Assistant Director roles and responsibilities have been reshaped to strengthen leadership and governance. Appointees are now all in place. 	 Timely interventions by well recruited, trained, supervised and managed professionals ensures appropriate actions are taken to safeguard and promote the wellbeing of children in Surrey. Actively respond to feedback from regulators. Robust quality assurance and management systems in place to identify and implement any key areas of learning so safeguarding practice can be improved. The Children's Safeguarding board (chaired by an independent person) comprises senior managers from the County Council and other agencies facilitating prompt decision making and ensuring best practice. An Improvement Board (chaired by the Deputy Leader) oversees progress on the Improvement Plan and agrees areas of action as required. 	Deputy Chief Executive and Strategic Director of Children's Schools and Families	High
	L3	ASC6,7 ,13,14	Safeguarding – Adult Social Care Avoidable failure in Adult Social Care, through action or inaction, leads to serious	High	Working within the framework established by the Surrey Safeguarding Adults Board ensures that the council's policies and procedures are up to date and based on good practice.	Continue to work with the Independent Chair of the Surrey Safeguarding Adults Board to ensure feedback and	Strategic Director of Adult Social Care & Public Health	High

Ref	Risk ref.	Description of the risk	Inherent risk level (no controls)	Processes in place (ie the 'how' risks are being mitigated)	Controls (i.e. decisions needed)	Lead risk owner	Residual risk level (after existing controls)
		harm, death or a major impact on wellbeing.		 The Adult Social Care and Children, Schools and Families Multi Agency Safeguarding Hub went live on 5 October 2016 facilitating the sharing of good practice. Established a locality safeguarding advisor to assure quality control. Strong leadership, including close involvement by Associate Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care in safeguarding functions. 	recommendations from case reviews are used to inform learning and social work practice. - Actively respond to feedback from regulators. - One year on from the implementation of the Care Act, a new strategic plan for safeguarding within ASC will be implemented.		

Cros	s cutting	<u>risks</u> – high level risks that	can be mitig	ated more effectively through cross working.	safeguarding within ASC will be implemented.		
Ref	Risk ref.	Description of the risk	Inherent risk level (no controls)	Processes in place (ie the 'how' risks are being mitigated)	Controls (i.e. decisions needed)	Lead risk owner	Residual risk level (after existing controls)
	ASC1,2, 12,16,17 C&C4 CSF1,2, 7 EAI1,3 FN2 ORB01,	Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) 2017-20 Failure to achieve the MTFP, which could be a result of: Not achieving savings Additional service demand and/or Over optimistic funding levels. As a consequence, lowers the council's financial resilience and could lead to adverse long term	High	 Monthly reporting to Continuous Improvement and Productivity Network and Cabinet on the forecast outturn position is clear about the impacts on future years and enables prompt management action (that will be discussed informally with Cabinet). Weekly review of the in year financial position at Chief Executives Direct Reports meeting and strong focus on development of plans for delivery of the 2017/18 service efficiencies and reductions – to enable early management action as relevant. Budget planning discussions held with Cabinet and Select Committees. Early conversations are undertaken with all 	 Prompt management action taken by Directors / Leadership Teams to identify correcting actions for any in year overspends or failure to deliver service reductions (evidenced by robust action plans). Members (Council, Cabinet, Select Committees) make the necessary decisions to implement action plans in a timely manner. Members have all the relevant information to make necessary decisions. 	Director of Finance	High

Key to references:

ASC = Adult Social Care risk

CSF = Children, Schools and Families risk

Ref Risk Description of the risk Inherent Processes in place						<u> </u>	David Mich	
	Ket	Risk ref.	Description of the risk	Inherent risk level (no controls)	Processes in place (ie the 'how' risks are being mitigated)	Controls (i.e. decisions needed)	Lead risk owner	Residual risk level (after existing controls)
Page 38			consequences for services if Members fail to take necessary decisions.		relevant stakeholders to ensure consultations about service changes are effective and completed in a timely manner (savings tracker developed for use during 2017/18 to identify necessary consultations, milestones, Equality Impact Assessments). Cross service networking and timely escalation of issues to ensure lawfulness and good governance. Increased challenge and rigour on cost control. Chief Executive's Direct Reports meeting agreement to focus capacity on three key priorities – information management in CSF, health and social care integration and assets. Cabinet Members induction programme to ensure continuity of informed decision making and service delivery. New Members induction programme in place (May to July) to introduce them to the council and thereby facilitate informed decision making. Significant focus on income generating activities through an enlarged property investment programme and the optimisation of the existing property assets.			
		ASC2, 16 CSF1,2, 5,6,8 ORB01, 02,07, EMT3, 12, EA13	New ways of working Failure to work effectively as part of a multi-agency system leads to severe service disruption and reputational damage.	High	 Shared and aligned strategies to ensure no unintended consequences. Robust governance arrangements (eg. Inter Authority Agreements, Health and Social Care Integration Board, Health and Wellbeing Board, financial governance framework) in place with early warning mechanisms. Regular monitoring of progress and risks against transformation programmes within 	 Leadership and managers recognise the importance of building and sustaining good working relationships with key stakeholders and having early discussions if these falter. Work with Clinical Commissioning Groups on models of integrated care. 	Chief Executive	Medium

Key to references:

ASC = Adult Social Care risk

CSF = Children, Schools and Families risk

Ref	Risk ref.	Description of the risk	Inherent	Processes in place (ie the 'how' risks are being mitigated)	Controls (i.e. decisions needed)	Lead risk owner	Residual risk level (after existing controls)
				 each transformation board. Effective transition arrangements with continuous stakeholder engagement. Continuous focus on building and maintaining strong relationships with partners through regular formal and informal dialogue. Close liaison and communication with customers. 	Members continue to endorse approaches to integration across the council.		
L6 Page 39	ASC4, 5,8 CSF5 EAI2, 3,4 ORB 02,03, 08 LD6 EMT1, 10,11	Organisational resilience Failure for the organisation as a whole to plan for and/or respond effectively to a significant event and or strains on workforce capacity or resilience, results in severe and prolonged service disruption and loss of trust in the organisation.	High	 Developing an employment framework that supports flexibility in service delivery and organisational resilience. Robust governance framework (including codes of conduct, IT cyber resilience and information assurance policies, health and safety policies, complaints tracking). Information Governance Board monitors information governance requirements and changes and reviews information governance risks. Review of third party information governance risks. External risks are regularly assessed through the Local Resilience Forum and reviewed by the Statutory Responsibilities Network. Active learning by senior leaders from external experiences / incidents informs continual improvement within the council. Close working between key services and the Emergency Management Team to proactively update and communicate business continuity plans and share learning. High Performance Development Programme in place to increase skills, resilience and effectiveness of leaders. 	 Statutory Responsibilities Network review business continuity plans at least twice annually. Regular monitoring of effectiveness of processes is in place and improvements continually made and communicated as a result of learning. Robust change management processes. Member induction programme delivered between May and July 2017 to ensure new Members learn quickly about the challenges facing the county and be in a position to make key decisions. Senior management annual assurance statement provides assurance that business continuity is well planned and staff are all aware. 	Chief Executive	Medium

Key to references: ASC = Adult Social Care risk CSF = Children, Schools and Families risk

C&C = Customers and Communities risk EAI = Environment and Infrastructure risk FN = Finance Service risk
ORB = Orbis risk

Ref	Risk ref.	Description of the risk	Inherent risk level (no controls)	Processes in place (ie the 'how' risks are being mitigated)	Controls (i.e. decisions needed)	Lead risk owner	Residual risk level (after existing controls)
				 Career conversations built into appraisal process looking forward five years Shaping leaders programme in place. 			
L7		Senior Leadership Succession Planning A significant number of senior leaders leave the organisation within a short space of time and cannot be replaced effectively resulting in a reduction in the ability to deliver services to the level required.	Medium	 Enhance distributed leadership by focus on organisational goals and scorecard for organisational performance. Workforce planning linked to business continuity plans. Senior leadership appraisal process incorporates feedback (shaping leaders) and succession planning into appraisal process. 	- Transparent and effective succession plans.	Chief Executive	Medium

Movement of risks

Ref	Risk	Date added	Inherent risk level when added	Moveme in residu risk lev	ıal	Current residual risk level
L1	Financial outlook	Aug 12	High	Jan 16	仓	High
L2	Safeguarding – Children's Services	May 10	High	Jan 15	Û	High
L3	Safeguarding – Adult Social Care	May 10	High	Jan 15	Û	High
L4	Medium Term Financial Plan	Aug 12	High	-	-	High
L5	New ways of working	Jan 16	High	-	-	Medium
L6	Organisational resilience	May 10	High	Aug 12	Û	Medium
L7	Senior Leadership Succession Planning	Mar 15	High	Nov 16	仓	Medium

Risks recently removed from the register

Risk	Date added	Date removed
National policy development	Feb 13	Jan 16
Waste	May 10	Jan 16
Comprehensive Spending Review 2015	Sept 14	Jan 16
Reputation	Oct 14	Jan 16
Staff resilience	May 10	Jan 16
Information governance	Dec 10	Jan 16
Supply chain / contractor resilience	Jan 14	Jan 16
Strategic Infrastructure	Jan 16	Aug 17

Leadership level risk assessment criteria

Due to their significance, the risks on the Leadership risk register are assessed on their inherent risk level (no controls) and their residual risk level (after existing controls have been taken into account) by high, medium or low.

Risk level	Financial impact	Reputational impact	Performance impact	Likelihood
	(% of council budget)	(Stakeholder interest)	(Impact on priorities)	
Low	< 1%	Loss of confidence and trust in the council felt by a small group or within a small geographical area	Minor impact or disruption to the achievement of one or more strategic / directorate priorities	Remote / low probability
Medium	1 – 10%	A sustained general loss of confidence and trust in the council within the local community	Moderate impact or disruption to the achievement of one or more strategic / directorate priorities	Possible / medium probability
High	10 – 20%	A major loss of confidence and trust in the council within the local community and wider with national interest	Major impact or disruption to the achievement of one or more strategic / directorate priorities	Almost certain / highly probable